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ABSTRACT
Semantic based search engines are better than syntactic or key word based search engines as they have the ability to understand the meaning of the searched term and provide more specific data. We studied semantic (Hakia, Kosmix, Cognition, Lexxe, Swoogle) and syntactic (Google, Yahoo, Ask) based search engines and compared their search performance and analyzed them using specific queries. We also focused on some specific algorithms i.e. Page Rank algorithm, Weighted Page rank algorithm, Tag search algorithm.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Brief Introduction
A search engine can be described as a system that is developed mainly for searching information on W3(World Wide Web). The main need of the search engine is to explore the data present on the internet. If the concept of search engine would not have been there then it would have become very difficult for the users to search data on so many websites on the internet. There are many search engines used like Google, Yahoo, Ask, Bing etc for finding the useful information. As the internet has grown many search engines have also been developed to give variety to users for searching the information but only some search engines provide the specific information related to the search of the user. Automated clustering of data is done to manage the large number of web related documents and also the popularity has increased by organizing them into domain dependency directories. The web has evolved a lot in the past years in the form of generations in which web1.0 was the first generation starting from 1990 and ending in 2000, web 2.0 was the second generation starting from 2000 and ended in 2007 to web 3.0 which started in 2007 and is also currently being used.

1.2 Web Versions: an Overview

1.2.1 Web 1.0 Version
It can also be described as the first stage of the world wide web. It is basically a collection of web pages connected to each other by the use of hyperlinks. The correct definition of the first version of web is still a source of a talkpoint but it is referred as a collection of static web sites which did not contained much interactive content in them. The first version also includes static information in it.

1.2.2 Web 2.0 Version
The second version of web purely described the W3(World Wide Web) sites which mainly had the user generated content, their usability and interoperability in the web sites. There were two main personalities who were responsible for popularizing the second version of web at the O'Reilly Media Web 2.0 Conference in 2004 named as Tim O'Reilly and Dale Dougherty. But in 1999 it was mainly coined by Darcy DiNucci.
1.2.3 Web 3.0 Version

It can be defined as an extension to the second version of web and the evolution of the web in the world of internet. Tim O'Reilly famously gave this very popular view about the definition of the Third version of web. But, a person named as Nova Spivack described this version as the source of connecting the applications, data and the people using the internet. Also, some people define the third version of web as one of the fast developing technology and much more to come in it.

The most common activity which is being done by large number of users on the internet is searching and it is being carried out by large number of audience working on the web. Research has been carried out in past years for making the use of search engines easy. Now days, the search engine is made to work on the semantic occurrences. It basically improves the searching quality on the basis of understanding the need of the user and also to understand the meaning of the tokens as they appear on the web or within a closed system which helps in generating more specific and useful results for the user.
relational database tables are utilized here for storing these tables. [7][8]

2.1 Hakia
1. Founded by Riza Berkan.
3. It used a different method for indexing that is called QDEXing technology.
4. It's headquarter is in New York city.
5. Since April 2004 hakia.com has been offline.[14].

2.2 Kosmix
2. Founded by Anand Rajaraman, Venky Harinarayan.
3. Kosmix now known as WalmartLabs. It was taken over by Walmart in April 2011 and made a research department called WalmartLabs.
4. American firm situated in Mountain View, California.5. Website mostly earns from advertisements that is linked to categorization.
5. Website allow users to view web pages as topic pages that contains videos, photos, news, commentaries and other links.

2.3 Cognition
1. Cognition semantic searching is based on algorithms that help in reading the documents.
2. These are to eliminate different
   a) Sense.
   b) Forms.
3. Concepts of a word.
4. Searching gives five basic approaches
   a) Typical English
   b) Fuzzy logic
   c) Boolean (yes or no)
   d) Phrase
   e) Pattern mapping

2.4 Lexxe
1. Founded by Dr. Hong Liang Qiao.
3. Uses natural language processing in semantic searching.
4. Company situated Sydney, Australia.
5. Alpha version
   a) Launched In 2005.
   b) Include keyword searching and searching in form of questions in simple English
   c) Stopped for further development in 2010.
6. Beta version
   a) Launched in 2011.
   b) Searching now based on typical keyword searching and keyword that were of some special meaning or we can say keyword are based on specific concept hence the name Lexxe semantic keys searching was given.
   c) This version closed on June 17, 2015 for further analysis.

2.5 Swoogle
1. Founded by Li Ding and Tim Finin.
2. It mainly detects RDF documents HTML pages that are linked with RDF documents.
3. It stores these pages into database by indexing them and store them in the form of metadata.
4. It uses Page Rank algorithm made by Google for ranking purposes and it also adds the feature of semantic web documents to make Swoogle semantic based search engine.

3. SYNTACTIC BASED SEARCH ENGINE
Syntactic based search is used to search current information mainly from the HTML content. Also the web pages are not read in a systematic predefined order.

The syntactic based search does not follow special tagging techniques like page rank algorithm or tag search algorithm which makes it difficult for computer program to search them and arrange them according to specific order.

They are also less reliable than semantic based engines as they are not able to understand the meaning of the term searched by the user and it provides the results in unspecific order.

![Information retrieval process in Syntactic based search engine](http://example.com/fig5.png)

Various Syntactic based search engines are as follows:

3.1 Google
2. Founded by Larry Page and Sergey Brin.
3. Google is an American multinational technology company dealing in Internet-related services and products.
4. It also deals with online advertising technologies that is AdWords that puts advertisement near the searched answers, cloud computing, searching and software products.

3.2 Yahoo

2. Founded by Jerry Yang, David Filo.
3. Yahoo Inc. (styled as Yahoo!) is an American multinational technology company.
4. It is best known for search engine and their web related services.
5. It mainly includes mail, news, advertisement services etc.

3.3 Ask

1. Founded on June 1996.
2. Founded by Garrett Gruener and David Warthen.
3. Ask.com (previous known as AskJeeves.com) is a basic question-answer website.
4. It was first implemented by Warthen, Chevsky, and Justin Grant by taking Gary Chevsky's core design.
5. But due to increasing competition in late 2010 from other search engines they outsourced their work as web search technology and just focused on question answer concept.

4. COMPARISONS BETWEEN SEMANTIC BASED SEARCH ENGINES AND SYNTACTIC BASED SEARCH ENGINES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information Retrieval System</th>
<th>Semantic Information Retrieval System</th>
<th>Syntactic Information Retrieval System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keywords</td>
<td>Some value, min, exactly, only, max</td>
<td>AND, OR, and NOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>$\exists, \exists, \geq, =, \leq, \forall$</td>
<td>$+, -, ()$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phrase</td>
<td>$[, \ldots, ]$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Sensitive</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildcards</td>
<td>$\ast, ?, $</td>
<td>(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefixes</td>
<td>Length, Max &amp; Min Length,</td>
<td>File type,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fraction &amp; Total Digits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparative study on ranking techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Ranking Basis</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Page Rank Algorithm</td>
<td>In links are used</td>
<td>Importance of pages</td>
<td>No stress on personalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Page Rank Algorithm</td>
<td>In links &amp; out links are used</td>
<td>Popularity of pages</td>
<td>No stress on personalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tag Search Algorithm</td>
<td>Social Hints</td>
<td>Social Tagging</td>
<td>Cannot depend on social hints only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. PROPOSED SYSTEM

We have proposed a framework such that semantic based search engines retrieve more relevant information. We propose an engine 'X' that provide relevant and reliable searched web pages to the user. Firstly the query is made to translator that translates it to natural language. It is then fed to question-answer system which helps in decreasing the number of pages to be displayed to the user. This helps in reducing the number of comparisons that has to be made into crawler. Crawler is basic system that consists of data repositories, data translator and database. Relational database and data mining techniques is used to fasten up the process. Repositories are utilized to compare the query to past data so that proper web pages can be displayed. To segregate the web pages that are to be displayed is done using question-answer system and the crawler. We utilized Q&A system as it is very easy to implement. The crawler system mainly performs two functions that are (1) capture links related to searched term and (2) store them into repositories in textual format and database so that comparisons can be made efficiently and precisely.

Our proposed framework describes important facts and methods that are helpful in finding results and it uses general concepts so that searching is fast and transparent.

From Figure 7-11 it presents the search results for the syntactic based search engines –Google, Yahoo, Ask and semantic based search engines – Cluuz and Swoogle. It also shows the number of links for the search engines.
6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Information Retrieval is a critical concept now a day as the data is increasing as time passes on and the user wants data fastly, correctly, reliably, efficiently and precisely.

Data is handled using various clouds but there had always been an urge to fetch the data as early as possible as time is money.

So we studied these various techniques available and the various existing search engines either semantic or syntactic and tried to propose a real life search engine that will solve problems like searching optimized results that are not well tagged in a specific order.

From studying various semantic based search engines and syntactic based search engines, we concluded that the each search engine that we studied are best in their specific respect just like Google is best for fast searching by using spider algorithm.

We proposed a search engine in which we incorporated the best features so that searching becomes precise, better and efficient.
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